

United States Patent and Trademark Office



	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	10/060,832	01/29/2002	Naoya Iwama	ALPSP063	8398	
	22434	7590 06/04/2003				
	BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			EXAMINER		
	P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			LUEBKE, RENEE S		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2833		
				DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)	11/				
		10/060,832	IWAMA	W				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Renee S. Luebke	2833	1				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
•	on of Claims							
,	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 January 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)🛛	13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)[] A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								
S. Patent and Tr	adamark Office							

Application Number: 10/060,832

Art Unit: 2833

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content and language of an abstract of the disclosure. A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The meaning of "first means," "second means, etc. on page 4, etc. is unknown. In addition, the *Summary of the Invention* should be **brief** and should not repeat the claims.

Contrary to the last paragraph of page 8, the coupling parts 3d are not seen to be in an arc, or curved, shape.

Appropriate corrections are required.

3. Claims 1-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Art Unit: 2833

At the end of claim 1, the examiner is unsure of the meaning of "continues to rear ends of inner circumferences of the adjacent penetration holes." This phraseology is not used in the specification.

In regard to claim 2, it is unclear where "the coupling groove continues" from or to.

In claim 5, it appears that "the" should be deleted from lines 3 and 5 as the arrangement of the penetration holes has not been previously defined.

Appropriate corrections are required.

4. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3 and 8 do not appear to describe a disclosed arrangement. What other coupling part is there besides the coupling grooves?

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toshio, et al (Japanese document 11-53084). This sheet comprises a sheet

Page 4 Application Number: 10/060,832

Art Unit: 2833

having contact plates with a strip-shaped peel sheet 12, a spacer sheet 13, and an upper face sheet 14, wherein the sheets have holes as claimed. In addition, it comprises coupling grooves 12a, 12b. The grooves at the upper right in the figures, where a T-shape is formed, continue to the rear ends of the adjacent holes as claimed. In regard to claims 3 and 8, an embodiment (Fig. 9) shows arc shaped coupling grooves and meets these claims as best understood by the examiner.

- 8. Claims 1, 2 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's acknowledged prior art in view of Seeger, Jr. Applicant's acknowledged prior art, as discussed on pages 1-3 of the specification and figs. 6-8, teaches a sheet having contact plates with a stripshaped peel sheet, a spacer sheet, and an upper face sheet, wherein the sheets have holes as claimed. In addition, this prior art comprises coupling grooves. It differs from the claimed invention in the arrangement of the grooves. However, the grooves of Seeger, Jr. couple to rear ends (when viewed in any direction) of adjacent holes. This arrangement links many holes with fewer grooves and would have been an obvious arrangement on the sheet of the acknowledged prior art for the same reason.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The sheet of Lavender comprises grooves that curve from the rear of the holes.

10. Any response to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

For additional information regarding this new address, which was effective May 1, 2003, see Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003).

Application Number: 10/060,832

• Art Unit: 2833

or fax d to:

 $(703)\ 872\mbox{-}9318$ or $308\mbox{-}7722$ or $308\mbox{-}7724$ (informal or draft communications should be clearly labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to: Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (Receptionist) 2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke whose telephone number is (703) 308-1511.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (703) 308-2319.

Kenee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

May 29, 2003